arXiv:1411.0841v1 [math.DG] 4 Nov 2014

ON GENERALIZED ROTER TYPE MANIFOLDS

ABSOS ALI SHAIKH AND HARADHAN KUNDU

Dedicated to Professor Witold Roter on his eighty-second birthday

Abstract. The main object of the present paper is to study the geometric properties of a generalized Roter type semi-Riemannian manifold, which arose in the way of generalization to find the form of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R. Again for a particular curvature restriction on R and the Ricci tensor S there arise two structures, e. g., local symmetry ($\nabla R = 0$) and Ricci symmetry ($\nabla S = 0$); semisymmetry($R \cdot R = 0$) and Ricci semisymmetry ($R \cdot S = 0$) etc. In differential geometry there is a very natural question about the equivalency of these two structures. In this context it is shown that generalized Roter type condition is a sufficient condition for various important second order restrictions. Some generalizations of Einstein manifolds are also presented here. Finally the proper existence of both type of manifolds are ensured by some suitable examples.

1. **Introduction**

In differential geometry, many geometric structures are formed by some curvature restrictions imposed on the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R, e.g., locally symmetric manifold, which is formed by $\nabla R = 0$, where ∇ denotes the covariant derivative. Taking a particular restriction and replace the curvature tensor R to a $(0, 4)$-tensor, we get different structures. Recently, in [29] the present authors studied the equivalency of these structures and also obtained the classification of various curvature restrictions. Now for a certain restriction, we get another geometric structure by imposing the restriction on the Ricci tensor S, e.g., $\nabla R = 0$ gives rise to $\nabla S = 0$ (i.e., Ricci symmetric manifold). Consequently a natural question arises about the equivalency of the two geometric structures formed by a particular curvature restriction imposed on R and S respectively. In this context we mention some well known problems:

(i) What are the conditions for equivalency of local symmetry and Ricci symmetry?

(ii) Are the restrictions of semisymmetry and Ricci semisymmetry equivalent for the hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces? (P. J. Ryan Problem [26])

(iii) What are the conditions for equivalency of semisymmetry and Ricci semisymmetry?

(iv) What are the conditions for equivalency of pseudosymmetry and Ricci pseudosymmetry?

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. conformally flat manifold, Einstein manifold, generalized Einstein conditions, Roter type manifold, generalized Roter type manifold, curvature restricted geometric structure.

It is obvious that if the corresponding restriction operator and contraction commute then the structure due to R implies the structure due to S but not conversely, in general.

To answer the above questions, in this paper we study the generalized Roter type manifold (briefly, GRT_n) and show that if the corresponding restriction operator is linear over $C^\infty(M)$, the ring of all smooth functions on M, and commutes with contraction then the two structures formed by this restriction imposing on R and S respectively are equivalent on a GRT_n. We note that in [3] Arslan et. al. and in [22] Deszcz et. al. presented some sufficient conditions for the above problems (iii) and (iv), which are more complicated than generalized Roter type condition. The papers [3] and [22] are totally devoted to solve the problems (iii) and (iv) respectively but here we also prove these in other way with the help of our sufficient condition.

The notion of GRT_n arises to express R as a simple algebraic combination of some lower order tensors. Consider the following forms of R:

$$(a)R = Ig \wedge g,$$

$$(b)R = J_1 g \wedge g + J_2 g \wedge S,$$

$$(c)R = N_1 g \wedge g + N_2 g \wedge S + N_3 S \wedge S,$$

$$(d)R = L_1 g \wedge g + L_2 g \wedge S + L_3 S \wedge S + L_4 g \wedge S^2 + L_5 S \wedge S^2 + L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2,$$

where I, J_i, N_i, L_i's are all belong to $C^\infty(M)$, and S^2 is the Ricci tensor of level 2, and is defined as $S^2(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}X, Y)$, $\mathcal{S}$ is the Ricci operator and $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields on M. We note that I, J_1 and J_2 can be determined as $\frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)}$, $-\frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)}$ and $\frac{1}{n-2}$ respectively, κ being the scalar curvature of M. A manifold satisfying the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) are respectively called manifold of constant curvature, manifold with vanishing conformal curvature tensor (more precisely conformally flat for $n > 3$), Roter type manifold (briefly, RT_n) and GRT_n. Thus from the definition we have a path of generalization such that corresponding classes are in an inclusion form given as follows:

$$\boxed{\text{constant curvature}} \subset \boxed{\text{conformally flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{Roter type}} \subset \boxed{\text{generalized Roter type}}.$$

We mention that a manifold which is not RT_n but satisfying some generalized Roter type condition, was already investigated in [27] and very recently in [18] and [21] but the name "generalized Roter type" was first used in [28]. Recently in [30] the authors studied the characterization of a warped product manifold satisfying some generalized Roter type condition.

There are some physical points of view to study this generalized structure. We see that conformally flat manifold has a great importance in general theory of relativity and cosmology. Various spacetimes are conformally flat but some of them are not so, but we need to know their curvature form. Many important spacetimes are neither conformally flat nor RT_n but GRT_n. For example,

interior black hole spacetime [19] is not conformally flat but a RT_n. Again in [21] Deszcz et. al. presented some generalization of Gödel metric and showed that this is not RT_n but GRT_n and thus as special cases Gödel metric and Som-Raychaudhuri solution of Einstein's field equation are not RT_n but they are GRT_n.

The paper is organized in the following way: Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries and section 3 deals with the definitions of various geometric structures and we present some generalizations of Einstein condition. Section 4 is devoted to the main results. Finally, in section 5 we present two examples which ensure the properness of the path of generalization to get the form of curvature tensor R and the path of generalization of Einstein condition.

2. **Preliminaries**

In this paper we consider all the manifold to be smooth connected semi-Riemannian and of dimension n, $n \geq 3$. Let us consider a manifold M equipped with a semi-Riemannian metric g and ∇, R, S and κ be the corresponding Levi-Civita connection, Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature respectively. Now for two $(0,2)$-tensors A and E, their Kulkarni-Nomizu product ([14], [16], [20], [24]) $A \wedge E$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(A \wedge E)(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) &= A(X_1, Y_2)E(X_2, Y_1) + A(X_2, Y_1)E(X_1, Y_2) \\ &- A(X_1, Y_1)E(X_2, Y_2) - A(X_2, Y_2)E(X_1, Y_1),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 \in \chi(M)$. Throughout the paper we consider $X, Y, X_i, Y_i \in \chi(M)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Again for a $(0,2)$-tensor A and a $(0,k)$-tensor T we define their generalized Kulkarni-Nomizu product ([2], [15]) as a $(0,k+2)$-tensor $A \wedge T$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(A \wedge T)(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) &= A(X_1, Y_2)T(X_2, Y_1, \cdots, Y_k) + A(X_2, Y_1)T(X_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) \\ &- A(X_1, Y_1)T(X_2, Y_2, \cdots, Y_k) - A(X_2, Y_2)T(X_1, Y_1, \cdots, Y_k).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor A we get an endomorphism $\mathcal{A}$ called the corresponding endomorphism operator defined as

$$g(\mathcal{A}X, Y) = A(X, Y).$$

Then we can define k-th level tensor of A, say A^k of same order with corresponding endomorphism operator $\mathcal{A}^k$ given below:

$$A^k(X, Y) = g(\mathcal{A}^k X, Y) = A(\mathcal{A}^{k-1}X, Y).$$

Thus, in particular, we get the second, third and fourth level Ricci tensor S^2, S^3, S^4 respectively given by

$$S^2(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}X,Y), \quad S(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{S}X,Y),$$
$$S^3(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}^2X,Y), \quad S^2(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{S}^2X,Y),$$
$$S^4(X,Y) = S(\mathcal{S}^3X,Y), \quad S^3(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{S}^3X,Y).$$

A tensor D of type $(1,3)$ on M is said to be generalized curvature tensor ([14], [16], [20]), if

$$(i) \quad D(X_1,X_2)X_3 + D(X_2,X_3)X_1 + D(X_3,X_1)X_2 = 0,$$
$$(ii) \quad D(X_1,X_2)X_3 + D(X_2,X_1)X_3 = 0,$$
$$(iii) \quad D(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) = D(X_3,X_4,X_1,X_2),$$

where $D(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) = g(D(X_1,X_2)X_3,X_4)$, for all $X_1,X_2,\ X_3,X_4$. Here we use the same symbol D for the generalized curvature tensor of type $(1,3)$ and $(0,4)$. Moreover if D satisfies the second Bianchi like identity i.e.,

$$(\nabla_{X_1}D)(X_2,X_3)X_4 + (\nabla_{X_2}D)(X_3,X_1)X_4 + (\nabla_{X_3}D)(X_1,X_2)X_4 = 0,$$

then D is called a proper generalized curvature tensor. Some most useful generalized curvature tensors are Gaussian curvature tensor G, Weyl conformal curvature tensor C, concircular curvature tensor W and conharmonic curvature tensor K, which are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{1}{2}(g \wedge g), \\ C &= R - \frac{1}{(n-2)}(g \wedge S) + \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)}(g \wedge g), \\ W &= R - \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)}(g \wedge g), \\ K &= R - \frac{1}{(n-2)}(g \wedge S). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the Gaussian curvature tensor is a proper generalized curvature tensor.

We can easily operate an endomorphism $\mathcal{H}$ over $\chi(M)$, on a $(0,k)$-tensor T, $k \geq 1$, and get the tensor $\mathcal{H}T$, given by ([14], [16], [20])

$$(\mathcal{H}T)(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_k) = -T(\mathcal{H}X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_k) - \cdots - T(X_1,X_2,\cdots,\mathcal{H}X_k).$$

We note that the operation of $\mathcal{H}$ on a scalar is zero.

Now for a $(0,4)$ tensor D and given two vector fields $X,Y \in \chi(M)$ one can define an endomorphism $\mathcal{D}(X,Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(X,Y)(X_1) = D(X,Y)X_1, \text{ for all } X_1 \in \chi(M).$$

Again if $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ then for a $(0,2)$-tensor A one can define an endomorphism $X \wedge_A Y$, by

$$(X \wedge_A Y)X_1 = A(Y, X_1)X - A(X, X_1)Y, \text{ for all } X_1 \in \chi(M).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_k^r(M)$ be the space of all smooth tensor fields of type (r,k) on M, $r, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then for $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$, $k \geq 2$, and a generalized curvature tensor D one can define a $(0, k+2)$ tensor $D \cdot T$ given by ([9], [14], [16], [20], [29])

$$\begin{aligned} &D \cdot T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k; X, Y) = (\mathcal{D}(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) \\ &= -T(\mathcal{D}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) - \ldots - T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, \mathcal{D}(X, Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

and for a $(0,2)$-tensor A one can define a $(0, k+2)$-tensor $Q(A, T)$ as ([9], [14], [16], [20], [29], [32])

$$\begin{aligned} &Q(A, T)(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k; X, Y) = ((X \wedge_A Y) \cdot T)(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) \\ &= -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) - \ldots - T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k). \end{aligned}$$

To define the restriction of pseudosymmetric manifold in sense of Chaki [6] as an operator, recently Shaikh and Kundu [29] defined an endomorphism $\mu_{_X}$ for an 1-form μ and a vector field X on M as

$$\mu_{_X}(X_1) = \mu(X_1)X, \text{ for all } X_1 \in \chi(M).$$

Then we can operate $\mu_{_X}$ on a $(0,k)$-tensor field T as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu_{_X} \cdot T)(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k) \\ &= -T(\mu_{_X}(X_1), X_2, \ldots, X_k) - \ldots - T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, \mu_{_X}(X_k)), \\ &= -\mu(X_1)T(X, X_2, \ldots, X_k) - \mu(X_2)T(X_1, X, \ldots, X_k) - \ldots - \mu(X_k)T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X), \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, X_i \in \chi(M)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an endomorphism over $\chi(M)$ and A, E be two $(0,2)$-tensors. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(A \wedge E) = (A \wedge \mathcal{H}E) + (E \wedge \mathcal{H}A).$$

Proof: The proof can be easily follows from the definitions.

3. **Various geometric structures**

In this section we define various necessary geometric structures by means of curvature restrictions.

Definition 3.1. ([5], [29], [31]) *Let T be a $(0,k)$ tensor and D be a $(0,4)$ tensor on M. Then the semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be T-semisymmetric type with respect to D or simply T-semisymmetric type if $D \cdot T = 0$.*

In particular, for $D = R$ and if $T = R$ (resp., S, P, C, W, K) then the manifold is called semisymmetric (resp., Ricci semisymmetric, projectively semisymmetric, conformally semisymmetric, concircularly semisymmetric, conharmonically semisymmetric).

Definition 3.2. ([1], [10], [11], [29]) *Let T be $(0,k)$ tensor and $D_1, D_2, \cdots D_r$ are some $(0,4)$ tensors on M $(r > 1)$. Then the semi-Riemannian manifold M is said to be Deszcz T-pseudosymmetric type if the tensors $D_1 \cdot T$, $D_2 \cdot T$, $\cdots$, $D_r \cdot T$ are linearly dependent.*

In particular, if $r = 2$, $D_1 = R$, $D_2 = G$ and $T = R$ (resp., S, P, C, W, K), then the manifold is called Deszcz pseudosymmetric (resp., Ricci pseudosymmetric, projectively pseudosymmetric, conformally pseudosymmetric, concircularly pseudosymmetric, conharmonically pseudosymmetric). Especially, if $r = 2$, $D_1 = C$, $D_2 = G$ and $T = C$, then M is called manifold of pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor. Again if $r = 2$, $D_1 = R$, $\mathcal{D}_2(X,Y) = X \wedge_S Y$ and $T = R$, then the manifold is called Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric. Thus we can say that a manifold is Deszcz pseudosymmetric, Ricci pseudosymmetric ([3], [11]), manifold of pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor ([3], [11]) and Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric ([7], [8], [11]) respectively if and only if

$$R \cdot R = L_R Q(g,R) \text{ holds on } U_R = \{x \in M : R - \tfrac{\kappa}{n(n-1)} G \neq 0 \text{ at } x\},$$

$$R \cdot S = L_R Q(g,S) \text{ holds on } U_S = \{x \in M : S - \tfrac{\kappa}{n} g \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$$

$$C \cdot C = L_C Q(g,R) \text{ holds on } U_R = \{x \in M : C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\} \text{ and}$$

$$R \cdot R = L Q(S,R) \text{ holds on } U_R = \{x \in M : Q(S,R) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}.$$

Definition 3.3. ([12], [13]) *Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$ and $A, E \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$. Then the tensor $W(T,A,E)$ is defined by*

$$W(T,A,E) = T - N_1 A \wedge A - N_2 A \wedge E - N_3 E \wedge E,$$

and is called a Roter type tensor with T, A and E, where N_1, N_2 and N_3 are associated scalars. If on a manifold, $W(T,A,E) = 0$ for some N_1, N_2, N_3, then the manifold is said to be a Roter type with T, A, E; and N_1, N_2, N_3 are called the associated scalars.

In particular if $W(R,g,S) = 0$ i.e.,

$$R = N_1 g \wedge g + N_2 g \wedge S + N_3 S \wedge S, \tag{3.1}$$

for some scalars N_1, N_2, N_3, then the manifold is simply called Roter type manifold [12] and write briefly here as RT_n. In this case we write $W(R, g, S)$ simply as $W(R)$. For more details about RT_n we refer the reader to see [13], [23], [25] and also references therein.

Definition 3.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_4^0(M)$ and $A, E, F \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(M)$. Then the tensor $GW(T, A, E, F)$ is defined by*

$$GW(T, A, E, F) = T - L_1 A \wedge A - L_2 A \wedge E - L_3 E \wedge E - L_4 A \wedge F - L_5 E \wedge F - L_6 F \wedge F,$$

and is called a generalized Roter type tensor with T, A, E and F, where L_i, $1 \leq i \leq 6$ are associated scalars. If on a manifold, $GW(T, A, E, F) = 0$ for some L_i, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, then the manifold is said to be a generalized Roter type with T, A, E, F; and L_i, $1 \leq i \leq 6$ are called the associated scalars.

In particular if $GW(R, g, S, S^2) = 0$ i.e.,

$$R = L_1 g \wedge g + L_2 g \wedge S + L_3 S \wedge S + L_4 g \wedge S^2 + L_5 S \wedge S^2 + L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2, \tag{3.2}$$

for some scalars L_i, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, then the manifold is called generalized Roter type manifold [28] and we briefly write it here as GRT_n. In this case we write $GW(R, g, S, S^2)$ simply as $GW(R)$. We note that a GRT_n (resp., RT_n) is called proper if $L_6 \neq 0$ (resp., $N_3 \neq 0$) and also called special if one or more than one of their associated scalar(s) are identically zero or some particular value.

We have already seen a way of generalization on the basis of curvature form and now we present a parallel way of generalization to get the linear dependency of Ricci tensors of different levels. For this case the first step is Einstein manifold.

Definition 3.5. [4] *A manifold is said to be Einstein if it satisfies the Einstein condition i.e., its Ricci tensor S is some scalar multiple of the metric tensor g.*

We note that in this case $S = \frac{r}{n} g$. Now by contracting the equation (3.1) and (3.2), we get respectively $a_1 g + a_2 S + a_3 S^2 = 0$ and $a_4 g + a_5 S + a_6 S^2 + a_7 S^3 + a_8 S^4 = 0$, where $a_1 = 2N_1(n-1) + N_2\kappa$, $a_2 = N_2(n-2) + 2N_3\kappa - 1$, $a_3 = -2N_3$, $a_4 = 2L_1(n-1) + L_2\kappa + L_4\kappa^{(2)}$, $a_5 = L_2(n-2) + 2L_3\kappa + L_5\kappa^{(2)} - 1$, $a_6 = -2L_3 + L_4(n-2) + L_5\kappa + 2L_6\kappa^{(2)}$, $a_7 = -2L_5$ and $a_8 = -2L_6$, where $\kappa^{(2)}$ is the trace of S^2.
Thus we get two generalizations of Einstein manifold, namely generalized Einstein condition [4] and are given by

$$S^2 + a_1 S + a_2 g = 0 \text{ and} \tag{3.3}$$

$$S^4 + a_3S^3 + a_4S^2 + a_5S + a_6g = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 and a_6 are some scalars. We can also construct another generalized Einstein condition as

$$S^3 + a_7S^2 + a_8S + a_9g = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where a_7, a_8 and a_9 are some scalars. According to the maximum level of Ricci tensor we can say the structures given by (3.3), (3.5) and (3.4) as generalized Einstein condition with 2nd, 3rd and 4th Ricci level respectively and are denoted by $Ein(2)$, $Ein(3)$ and $Ein(4)$ respectively. Similarly we can present the Einstein manifold as $Ein(1)$. Finally we get a path of generalization of Einstein manifold by increasing the Ricci level such that their corresponding classes are in an inclusion form given as follows:

$$\boxed{\text{Ricci Flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{Einstein}} \subset \boxed{Ein(2)} \subset \boxed{Ein(3)} \subset \boxed{Ein(4)}.$$

We note that throughout the paper, by box we denote the corresponding class of manifolds. We can further algebraically extend the way of generalization to get the curvature form and generalized Einstein conditions by using the Ricci tensors of higher level in the definitions. We note that in literature there are many generalization of Einstein manifold sometime also called generalized Einstein metric conditions and for details about these we refer the reader to see [17] and also references therein.

There is an another way of generalization to get the curvature form, which is given by

$$\boxed{\text{Flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{Constant curvature}} \subset \boxed{\text{quasi constant curvature}}.$$

Then the corresponding parallel way to get the form of Ricci tensor is given by

$$\boxed{\text{Ricci Flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{Einstein}} \subset \boxed{\text{quasi Einstein}}.$$

A manifold is said to be of quasi-constant curvature if its Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor R is given by

$$R = \alpha' G + \beta' g \wedge (\eta' \otimes \eta'),$$

where α', β' are some scalar and η' is an 1-form.
Again, a manifold is said to be quasi-Einstein if its Ricci tensor is given by

$$S = \alpha g + \beta \eta \otimes \eta,$$

where α, β are some scalar and η is an 1-form. We note that in this case the Ricci operator can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S} = \alpha \mathcal{I} + \beta \eta_{_V},$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator and V is the vector field corresponding to η and is given by $g(X,V)=\eta(X)$.

4. **Main Results**

In this section we discuss various geometric properties of a GRT_n satisfying the generalized Roter type condition (3.2).

Theorem 4.1. *A GRT_n is a manifold of constant curvature if it is an Einstein manifold.*

Proof: If the manifold is Einstein, i.e., $S=\frac{\kappa}{n}g$, then

$$S^2=\left(\frac{\kappa}{n}\right)^2 g.$$

Now putting these values of S and S^2 in (3.2), we get

$$R=\frac{2}{n^4}\left[L_1n^4+\kappa\{L_2n^3+\kappa((L_3+L_4)n^2+L_5n\kappa+L_6\kappa^2)\}\right]G.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2. *A GRT_n is a manifold of quasi constant curvature if it is a quasi-Einstein manifold.*

Proof: Let M be quasi-Einstein with $S=\alpha g+\beta\eta\otimes\eta$. Then

$$S^2=\bar{\alpha}g+\bar{\beta}\eta\otimes\eta,$$

where $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha^2$ and $\bar{\beta}=\beta(2\alpha+\beta||\eta||^2)$. Now applying these values in (3.2), we get

$$R=\alpha' G+\beta' g\wedge(\eta\otimes\eta),$$

where $\alpha'=2\left[L_1+\alpha(L_2+\alpha(L_3+L_4+\alpha(L_5+L_6\alpha)))\right]$ and $\beta'=\beta[L_2+\alpha(2(L_3+L_4)+3L_5\alpha+4L_6\alpha^2)+(L_4+\alpha(L_5+2L_6\alpha))\beta||\eta||^2]$. Thus the manifold becomes of quasi constant curvature.

It is clear from definition that GRT_n is a generalization of RT_n. We now present a sufficient condition for a GRT_n to be RT_n.

Theorem 4.3. *A GRT_n is a RT_n if it satisfies some proper $Ein(2)$ condition.*

Proof: Let us consider the generalized Einstein condition as

$$S^2+a_1S+a_2g=0.$$

Then putting the value of S^2 in the generalized Roter type condition we get our assertion.

Note: Since every proper RT_n is $Ein(2)$, from above we can say that a proper GRT_n is RT_n if

and only if it is $Ein(2)$. Similarly from Theorem 4.1 (resp., 4.2) we can say that a proper GRT_n is of constant curvature (resp., quasi-constant curvature) if and only if it is Einstein (resp., quasi-Einstein).

Theorem 4.4. *A proper RT_n satisfying* (3.1) *is a GRT_n which satisfies* (3.2) *such that L_i's are related as*

$$L_1 = -\frac{b^2L_6 + 2bL_4N_3}{4N_3^2},$$
$$L_2 = -\frac{abL_6 + aL_4N_3 + bL_5N_3 - 2N_2N_3^2}{2N_3^2},$$
$$L_3 = -\frac{a^2L_6 + 2aL_5N_3 - 4N_3^3}{4N_3^2},$$

where $a = (n-2)N_2 + 2N_3\kappa$ and $b = 2(n-1)N_1 + N_2\kappa$.

Proof: Since the manifold satisfies (3.1), contracting (3.1) we get

$$a_1 g + a_2 S + a_3 S^2 = 0,$$

where $a_1 = 2N_1(n-1) + N_2\kappa$, $a_2 = N_2(n-2) + 2N_3\kappa$, $a_3 = -2N_3$. Now since $N_3 \neq 0$, $a_3 \neq 0$, we can evaluate S^2 in terms of S and g. Now consider the generalized Roter type tensor

$$GW(R) = R - L_1 g \wedge g - L_2 g \wedge S - L_3 S \wedge S - L_4 g \wedge S^2 - L_5 S \wedge S^2 - L_6 S^2 \wedge S^2$$

and put the value of S^2 in terms of S and g. Then equating the reduced form of $GW(R)$ to zero and solving the corresponding system of equations, we get the result.

For a proper conformally flat manifold we can get only the form of R but not of S. So in generally we can not conclude about the Roter type and generalized Roter type condition satisfying by a conformally flat manifold. We now present a result on the form of Roter type and generalized Roter type conditions for a manifold of constant curvature.

Theorem 4.5. *A non flat manifold of constant curvature satisfies* (3.1) *such that N_i's are related as*

$$\frac{N_1n^2 + \kappa(N_2n + N_3\kappa)}{n} = \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)}.$$

It also satisfies (3.2) *such that L_i's are related as*

$$\frac{L_1n^4 + \kappa[L_2n^3 + \kappa\{n^2(L_3 + L_4) + L_5n\kappa + L_6\kappa^2\}]}{n^3} = \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)}.$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem may be constructed in same style as similar as Theorem 4.4.

In literature we see that after introduce of a curvature restricted geometric structure due to a restriction on R there parallely arises another geometric structure due to the same restriction on S. If the corresponding operator of the restriction and contraction commute then the first

structure implies the second. Thus there arises a natural question about the inverse implication or more precisely, the equivalency of these two structures (see the P. J. Ryan problem [26], works of Deszcz and his coauthors [3], [22] and references therein). Here we show that the generalized Roter type condition is a sufficient condition for some of them.

For this purpose we first consider about the linearity and commutativity with contraction of the defining restriction operator of a geometric structure. If the operator is linear over R only, then it is called 1st type and if it linear over $C^\infty(M)$ also, then it is called 2nd type. Again if the operator and contraction are commute then it is called commutative. We note that a restriction is commutative if and only if it gives zero when it applies on g (Lemma 5.1, [29]). For details about the classification of such curvature restriction operators we refer the readers to see [29].

Theorem 4.6. *On a GRT_n the geometric structures defined by a commutative 2nd type restriction imposed on R and S are equivalent.*

Proof: Consider a commutative 2nd type restriction operator $\mathcal{L}$ and then the corresponding geometric structure due to a tensor T is given by $\mathcal{L}(T) = 0$. Now as $\mathcal{L}$ is commutative so $\mathcal{L}(R) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(S) = 0$. So to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show $\mathcal{L}(S) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(R) = 0$. Now consider $\mathcal{L}(S) = 0$. Then from Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{L}(S \wedge S) = 0$ and again contracting we get $\mathcal{L}(S^2) = 0$ (since $\mathcal{L}$ is commutative).
Let us now consider the generalized Roter type condition (3.2). Then as $\mathcal{L}$ is of 2nd type and commutative, by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(R) = 0 + L_2 g \wedge \mathcal{L}(S) + 2L_3 S \wedge \mathcal{L}(S) + L_4 g \wedge \mathcal{L}(S^2) + L_5 S^2 \wedge \mathcal{L}(S) + L_5 S \wedge \mathcal{L}(S^2) + 2L_6 S^2 \wedge \mathcal{L}(S^2).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(S) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(S^2) = 0$, so from above we get $\mathcal{L}(R) = 0$. Hence the theorem.

Since the curvature restriction of semisymmetric and pseudosymmetric structures are commutative and of 2nd type, from the above theorem we can state the following corollaries:

Corollary 4.1. *On a GRT_n the semisymmetric and Ricci semisymmetric conditions are equivalent.*

Corollary 4.2. *On a GRT_n the pseudosymmetric and Ricci pseudosymmetric conditions are equivalent.*

Again since the generalized Roter type condition is generalization of conformally flat and Roter type condition i.e., they are improperly generalized Roter type, from the above theorems we can state the following:

Corollary 4.3. *On a RT_n or a conformally flat manifold, the semisymmetric (resp., pseudosymmetric) and Ricci semisymmetric (resp., Ricci pseudosymmetric) conditions are equivalent.*

Remark 4.1. *We can conclude that in a GRT_n to study any semisymmetric type or pseudosymmetric type condition imposed on R, it is sufficient to study the condition on S only, if the corresponding restriction is commutative 2nd type.*

From above we can state the following:

Corollary 4.4. *On a GRT_n, the following implications hold:*

$$R\cdot S=0\Rightarrow R\cdot R=0, R\cdot C=0,$$

$$R\cdot S=L_SQ(g,S)\Rightarrow R\cdot R=L_SQ(g,R), R\cdot C=L_SQ(g,C),$$

$$C\cdot S=0\Rightarrow C\cdot R=0, C\cdot C=0,$$

$$C\cdot S=L_SQ(g,S)\Rightarrow C\cdot R=L_SQ(g,R), C\cdot C=L_SQ(g,C),$$

$$W\cdot S=0\Rightarrow W\cdot R=0, W\cdot C=0,$$

$$W\cdot S=L_SQ(g,S)\Rightarrow W\cdot R=L_SQ(g,R), W\cdot C=L_SQ(g,C),$$

$$K\cdot S=0\Rightarrow K\cdot R=0, K\cdot C=0$$

$$\text{and } K\cdot S=L_SQ(g,S)\Rightarrow K\cdot R=L_SQ(g,R), K\cdot C=L_SQ(g,C).$$

In [3] Arslan et. al. showed that in a manifold of special generalized Ricci pseudosymmetry with pseudosymmetric Weyl conformal curvature tensor, the notion of Ricci semisymmetry and semisymmetry are equivalent. Again in [22] Deszcz et. al. showed that in a manifold in which $R\cdot R-Q(S,R)$ and $Q(g,C)$ are linearly dependent together with $R\cdot C$ and $Q(S,C)$ are linearly dependent, the notion of pseudosymmetry and Ricci pseudosymmetry are equivalent. They proved these in a broad way. Here we show that these conditions either give some generalized Roter type condition and thus by our Theorem 4.6 we can easily conclude the results of equivalency otherwise follows from very simple results. We first state some necessary results.

Proposition 4.1. [7] *Let (M,g), $dim(M)\geq 3$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. Let A be a non-zero symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor of Rank ≥ 2 and B be a generalized curvature tensor. Let at $x\in M$, $Q(A,B)=0$, then*
(i) B and $A\wedge A$ are linearly dependent if $A(X,Y)\neq \frac{1}{A(V,V)}A(V,X)A(V,Y)$.
(ii) $\sum_{X,Y,Z} a(X)\mathcal{B}(X,Y)=0$ if $A(X,Y)=\frac{1}{A(V,V)}A(V,X)A(V,Y)$,
where V is a vector at x such that $A(V,V)\neq 0$.

Proposition 4.2. [7] *Let (M,g), $dim(M)\geq 3$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If at a point x in M,*

$$S=\mu g+\rho a\otimes a \text{ and } \sum_{X,Y,Z} a(X)\mathcal{B}(X,Y)=0$$

for some non-zero vector a, where $B = R - \gamma G$, $\mu, \rho, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then at x, we have

$$R \cdot R = \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)} Q(g, R) \quad \textit{and} \quad R \cdot R = Q(S, R) - \frac{(n-2)\kappa}{n(n-1)} Q(g, C).$$

Proposition 4.3. [22] *Let (M, g), $dim(M) \geq 3$, be a semi-Riemannian Ricci pseudosymmetric manifold ($R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$) in which $R \cdot R - Q(S, R)$ and $Q(g, C)$ are linearly dependent ($R \cdot R - Q(S, R) = L_1 Q(g, C)$) together with $R \cdot C$ and $Q(S, C)$ are linearly dependent ($R \cdot C = L_2 Q(S, C)$). Then if $L_1 \neq 0$ or $L_2 \neq 1$, then (M, g) satisfies the pseudosymmetry condition $R \cdot R = L_S Q(g, R)$ on U_S.*

Proof: It is given that

$$R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S),$$

$$R \cdot R - Q(S, R) = L_1 Q(g, C) \text{ and}$$

$$R \cdot C = L_2 Q(S, C).$$

Now comparing last two results we get

$$Q(S, R) + L_1 Q(g, C) - \frac{1}{n-1} R \cdot g \wedge S = L_2 Q(S, C)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow\ Q(S, R) \ &+\ L_1 \left[Q(g, R) - \frac{1}{n-1} Q(g, g \wedge S) \right] - \frac{1}{n-1} g \wedge (R \cdot S) \\ &=\ L_2 \left[Q(S, R) - \frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, g \wedge S) + \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)} Q(S, g \wedge g) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow\ Q(S, R) \ &+\ L_1 \left[Q(g, R) + \frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, g \wedge g) \right] - \frac{L_S}{n-1} Q(g, g \wedge S) \\ &=\ L_2 \left[Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-1} Q(g, S \wedge S) + \frac{\kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)} Q(S, g \wedge g) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - L_2) Q(S, R) + L_1 Q(g, R) + \left[\frac{L_1 + L_S}{n-1} - \frac{L_2 \kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \right] Q(S, g \wedge g) - \frac{L_2}{n-1} Q(g, S \wedge S) = 0$$

Then we can write

$$\alpha_1 Q(S, R) + \alpha_2 Q(g, R) + \alpha_3 Q(S, g \wedge g) + \alpha_4 Q(g, S \wedge S) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} Q\left(\alpha_1 S + \alpha_2 g, R + \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} g \wedge g + \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_2} S \wedge S\right) = 0 \ \text{ if } \alpha_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_2 \neq 0 \\ Q\left(\alpha_2 g, R - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} g \wedge S + \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_2} S \wedge S\right) = 0 \ \text{ if } \alpha_1 = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_2 \neq 0 \\ Q\left(\alpha_1 S, R + \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} g \wedge g - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_1} g \wedge S\right) = 0 \ \text{ if } \alpha_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_1 = 1 - L_2$, $\alpha_1 = L_1$, $\alpha_3 = \frac{L_1 + L_S}{n-1} - \frac{L_2 \kappa}{2(n-1)(n-2)}$ and $\alpha_4 = -\frac{L_2}{n-1}$. Let us now consider the above results as $Q(A, B) = 0$. Then two cases arise:

Case 1: Let at some $x \in M$, $Rank(A) > 1$. Then from Proposition 4.1 we have

$$B = \lambda_1 A \wedge A$$

i.e., M satisfies some Roter type condition at x. Then from Theorem 4.6, we can say that the manifold satisfies the pseudosymmetry condition at x, since M satisfies the Ricci pseudosymmetry condition.

Case 2: Let at some $x \in M$, $Rank(A) = 1$ and $A \neq 0$. Then there exists some $V \in T_x(M)$ such that $A(V, V) \neq 0$ and we have

$$\alpha_1 S + \alpha_2 g = \frac{1}{\rho} a \otimes a \quad \text{and}$$
$$a(X)\mathcal{B}(Y, Z) + a(Y)\mathcal{B}(Z, X) + a(Z)\mathcal{B}(X, Y) = 0,$$

where $a(X) = A(X, V)$ and $\rho = A(V, V)$. We note that since g can not be of rank 1, the fact $\alpha_1 = 0$ does not arise in this case. Thus B is some linear combination of R, G and $g \wedge (a \otimes a)$, say

$$B = \beta_1 R + \beta_2 G + \beta_3 g \wedge (a \otimes a).$$

Since $\tilde{B} = g \wedge (a \otimes a)$ satisfies the condition

$$a(X)\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(Y, Z) + a(Y)\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(Z, X) + a(Z)\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = 0,$$

$\bar{B} = \beta_1 R + \beta_2 G$ satisfies

$$a(X)\bar{\mathcal{B}}(Y, Z) + a(Y)\bar{\mathcal{B}}(Z, X) + a(Z)\bar{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = 0.$$

Thus by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$R \cdot R = \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)} Q(g, R).$$

Hence at each $x \in M$, the manifold is pseudosymmetric except $\alpha_1 S + \alpha_2 g = 0$ i.e., on the set $\left\{x \in M : S = \frac{\kappa}{n} \text{ at } x\right\}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.2. *We note that in* [22] *Deszcz et. al. proved the above result by considering* $L_S = \frac{\kappa}{n} L_2 \neq 0$. *They also showed that under this given condition* $L_S = \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)}$ *and thus* $L_2 = \frac{1}{n-1}$ *(see Remark 3.1,* [22]*). Since here we consider* $n \geq 3$, *so* $L_2 \neq 1$, *thus the result presented by Deszcz et. al. in* [22] *is generalized by the above proposition.*

Proposition 4.4. [3] *Let* (M, g), $dim(M) \geq 3$, *be a semi-Riemannian Ricci semisymmetric manifold satisfies generalized Ricci pseudosymmetry condition* $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$ *with pseudosymmetric Weyl conformal curvature tensor. Then* (M, g) *satisfies semisymmetry condition on* U_S.

Proof: First consider $\kappa = 0$, the result is easily follows from Theorem 4.2 of [3]. Next consider $\kappa \neq 0$. Now since M is Ricci semisymmetric and $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$, from Proposition 5.1 of [3] we have

$$C \cdot C = \frac{n-3}{n-2} R \cdot R + \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau\right) Q(g, C),$$

where $\tau = \frac{tr(S^2)}{\kappa}$. Again the manifold is of pseudosymmetric Weyl conformal curvature tensor i.e.,

$$C \cdot C = LQ(g, C)$$

for some scalar L (say). Now comparing these two results together with generalized Ricci pseudosymmetry condition we get

$$\frac{n-3}{n-2} Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau\right) Q(g, C) = LQ(g, C)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n-3}{n-2} Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau - L\right)\left[Q(g, R) - \frac{1}{n-1} Q(g, g \wedge S)\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n-3}{n-2} Q(S, R) + \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau - L\right)\left[Q(g, R) - \frac{1}{n-1} Q(S, G)\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\alpha_1 S + \alpha_2 g, R + \alpha_3 G) = 0,$$

where $\alpha_1 = \frac{n-3}{n-2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau - L\right)$ and $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-3)}\left(\frac{\kappa}{n-1} - \tau - L\right)$. If $n = 3$, $C = 0$ and we get our assertion from Corollary 4.3 and if $n > 3$, $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, then as similar to the proof of Proposition 4.3, we get our assertion.

We now show some special generalized Roter type conditions as a sufficient condition for equivalency of another two structures, namely, locally symmetric ($\nabla R = 0$) and Ricci symmetric ($\nabla S = 0$).

Theorem 4.7. *On a GRT_n locally symmetry and Ricci symmetry are equivalent if the associated scalars of GRT_n are constant or constant multiple of κ.*

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of the Theorem 4.6.

Corollary 4.5. *On a conformally flat manifold locally symmetry and Ricci symmetry are equivalent.*

5. **Examples**

In this section we present a metric to ensure the existence of GRT_n and the manifold with various generalized Einstein conditions.

Example 1: Let M_1 be a 5-dimensional connected semi-Riemannian manifold endowed with the

semi-Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = f\left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + h(dx^5)^2\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where f is a smooth function of x^1 and h is a smooth function of x^1 and x^2. Then its non-zero Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor and Ricci tensor components (upto symmetry) are the following:

$$R_{1212} = R_{1313} = R_{1414} = \frac{(f')^2 - ff''}{2f}, \quad R_{2323} = R_{2424} = R_{3434} = -\frac{(f')^2}{4f},$$

$$R_{1515} = \frac{1}{4}\left(-2hf'' - h_1f' + \frac{2h\,(f')^2}{f} + \frac{fh_1^2}{h} - 2fh_{11}\right), \quad R_{1525} = \frac{1}{4}f\left(\frac{h_1h_2}{h} - 2h_{12}\right),$$

$$R_{2525} = \frac{1}{4}f\left(-\frac{f'\,(hf' + fh_1)}{f^2} + \frac{h_2^2}{h} - 2h_{22}\right), \quad R_{3535} = R_{4545} = -\frac{f'\,(hf' + fh_1)}{4f},$$

$$S_{11} = \frac{-f^2h_1^2 + fhh_1f' + 2h\left[4fhf'' + f^2h_{11} - 4h\,(f')^2\right]}{4f^2h^2}, \quad S_{12} = -\frac{h_1h_2 - 2hh_{12}}{4h^2},$$

$$S_{22} = \frac{h\left[2fhf'' + 2f^2h_{22} + fh_1f' + h\,(f')^2\right] - f^2h_2^2}{4f^2h^2}, \quad S_{33} = S_{44} = \frac{2fhf'' + h\,(f')^2 + fh_1f'}{4f^2h},$$

$$S_{55} = \frac{-f^2\,(h_1^2 + h_2^2) + 2fh\,[2h_1f' + f\,(h_{11} + h_{22})] + h^2\left[2ff'' + (f')^2\right]}{4f^2h},$$

where $f' = \frac{df}{dx^1}$, $f'' = \frac{d}{dx^1}(\frac{df}{dx^1})$, $h_1 = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^1}$, $h_2 = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^2}$, $h_{11} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^1})$, $h_{12} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^2})$ and $h_{22} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^2})$.

Then by stateforward calculations we can calculate the components of S^2, S^3, S^4, $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$. Now we discuss the results by taking restrictions on the functions f and h.

(i) Let $h_1 \neq 0$, $h_2 \neq 0$ and f is non-constant. Then the manifold is $Ein(4)$ but not GRT_5.

(ii) Now let f and h both are non-constant function of x^1 only. Then M_1 is $Ein(3)$ and GRT_5.

(iii) If f is non-constant such that $15(f')^3 - 18ff'f'' + 4f^2f'' \neq 0$ and $h = \frac{c_1(f')^2}{f^3}$, c_1 is any arbitrary constant, then the manifold is proper RT_5 and thus also $Ein(2)$.

(iv) If f is non-constant such that $15(f')^3 - 18ff'f'' + 4f^2f'' = 0$ and $h = \frac{c_1(f')^2}{f^3}$, then the manifold is proper conformally flat, where one of $21(f')^3 - 22ff'f'' + 4f^2f''$, $33(f')^3 - 46ff'f'' + 12f^2f''$ and $-9(f')^3 - 2ff'f'' + 4f^2f''$ are not zero all together.

(v) If f is non-constant and $h = \frac{c_1(f')^2}{f^3}$ and also $21(f')^3 - 22ff'f'' + 4f^2f'' = 33(f')^3 - 46ff'f'' +$

$12f^2f'' = -9(f')^3 - 2ff'f'' + 4f^2f'' = 0$. Then M_1 become of non-flat constant curvature.
(vi) Finally if both f and h are constant then the manifold becomes flat.

Note 1: From the above example we see that the steps of paths of generalization

$$\boxed{\text{Flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{constant curvature}} \subset \boxed{\text{conformally flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{proper } RT_n} \subset \boxed{\text{proper } GRT_n}$$
$$\text{and } \boxed{\text{Ricci Flat}} \subset \boxed{\text{Einstein}} \subset \boxed{Ein(2)} \subset \boxed{Ein(3)} \subset \boxed{Ein(4)}.$$

are all proper.

We now present a metric to ensure that the generalized Einstein conditions $Ein(2)$ and $Ein(4)$ are proper generalization of RT_n and GRT_n respectively.

Example 2: Let M_2 be an open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^6$ where $x^5 > 0$, endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = (dx^1)^2 + e^{x^1}(dx^2)^2 + e^{x^1}(dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + e^{x^4}(dx^5)^2 + e^{x^4}(x^5+1)^2(dx^6)^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Then by easy calculation we get the non-zero components of Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor and Ricci tensor (upto symmetry) as:

$$R_{1212} = R_{1313} = -\frac{e^{x^1}}{4}, \quad R_{2323} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{2x^1}, \quad R_{4545} = -\frac{e^{x^4}}{4}, \quad e^{x^4}R_{4646} = R_{5656} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{2x^4}\left(x^5+1\right)^2$$

$$S_{11} = S_{44} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_{22} = S_{33} = \frac{e^{x^1}}{2}, \quad S_{55} = \frac{e^{x^4}}{2}, \quad S_{66} = \frac{1}{2}e^{x^4}\left(x^5+1\right)^2$$

Then we can easily shown that the manifold is Einstein and also satisfies the other generalized Einstein conditions as

$$a_0 g_2 + a_1 S_2 + a_2 S_2^2 = 0,$$
$$a_3 g_2 + a_4 S_2 + a_5 S_2^2 + a_6 S_2^3 = 0,$$
$$a_7 g_2 + a_8 S_2 + a_9 S_2^2 + a_{10} S_2^3 + a_{11} S_2^4 = 0,$$

where $a_2 = -2(2a_0 + a_1)$, $a_6 = -2(4a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5)$, $a_{11} = -16a_7 - 8a_8 - 4a_9 - 2a_{10}$ and a_0, a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10} are arbitrary scalars.

We can easily check that the manifold is not a GRT_6. Although we get some dependency of $g \wedge g$, $g \wedge S$, $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$ and $S^2 \wedge S^2$, given below:

$$L_0 g \wedge g + L_1 g \wedge S + L_2 S \wedge S = 0,$$

$$L_3 g \wedge g + L_4 g \wedge S + L_5 S \wedge S + L_6 g \wedge S^2 + L_7 S \wedge S^2 + L_8 S^2 \wedge S^2 = 0,$$

where $L_2 = -4(L_0 + L_1)$, $L_8 = -4(4L_3 + 4L_4 + L_5 + 2L_6 + L_7)$ and L_i, $i = 0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ are arbitrary scalars.

Note 2: From the above example we see that the steps of the following paths of generalization

$$\boxed{\text{constant curvature}} \subset \boxed{\text{Einstein}},$$
$$\boxed{\text{proper } RT_n} \subset \boxed{Ein(2)} \text{ and}$$
$$\boxed{\text{proper } GRT_n} \subset \boxed{Ein(4)}$$

are all proper.

Note 3: From the Note 1 and 2, we can conclude about the properness at each step of the following net of generalization

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\boxed{\text{constant curvature}} & \subset & \boxed{C=0} & \subset & \boxed{\text{proper } RT_n} & & \subset & & \boxed{\text{proper } GRT_n} \\
\cap & & & & \cap & & & & \cap \\
\boxed{\text{Einstein or } Ein(1)} & & \subset & & \boxed{Ein(2)} & \subset & \boxed{Ein(3)} & \subset & \boxed{Ein(4)}
\end{array}$$

6. **Conclusion**

In this paper we obtain the forms of Roter type and generalized Roter type conditions of a manifold of constant curvature but not for a conformally flat manifold. For conformally flat case the coefficients of $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S^2$, $S \wedge S^2$, $S^2 \wedge S^2$ may or may not be zero. We present a way of generalization to get the curvature form and a way of generalization of Einstein condition.

We also showed (Theorem 4.6) that on a GRT_n the two geometric structures formed by a commutative 2nd type restriction (i.e., the defining restriction operator commute with contraction and linear over $C^\infty(M)$) imposed on R and S respectively are equivalent. Thus to check a manifold to occupy the structure formed by a commutative 2nd type restriction imposed on R we have just to check the corresponding condition for S only if the manifold is a GRT_n, and hence the work becomes more easy. Again, if we extend the generalized Roter type condition to higher Ricci level, then we can conclude that the above equivalency also remain.

Acknowledgment: The second named author gratefully acknowledges to CSIR, New Delhi (File No. 09/025 (0194)/2010-EMR-I) for the financial assistance. All the algebraic computations of Section 5 are performed by a program in Wolfram Mathematica.

References

[1] Adamów, A. and Deszcz, R., *On totally umbilical submanifolds of some class of Riemannian manifolds*, Demonstratio Math., **16** (1983), 39–59.

[2] Arslan, K., Deszcz, R., Ezentaś, R., Hotloś, M. and Murathan, C., *On generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes satisfying some curvature condition*, Turkish J. Math., **38** (2014), 353–373.

[3] Arslan, K., Ċelik, Y., Deszcz, R. and Ezentaś, R., *On the equivalence of Ricci-semisymmetry and semisymmetry*, Colloq. Math., **76(2)** (1998), 279–294.

[4] Besse, A.L., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, **1987**.

[5] Cartan, E., *Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemannian*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **54** (1926), 214-264.

[6] Chaki, M.C., *On pseudosymmetric manifolds*, An. Ştiinţ. ale Univ., AL. I. Cuza din Iaşi N. Ser. Sect. Ia, **33(1)** (1987), 53–58.

[7] Defever, F. and Deszcz, R., *On semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying the condition* $R \cdot R = Q(S, R)$, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, **III**, World Sci., 1991, 108–130.

[8] Defever, F. and Deszcz, R., *On warped product manifolds satisfying a certain curvature condition*, Atti Accad. Peloritana Pericolanti, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., **69** (1991), 213–236.

[9] Defever, F. Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, Ş., *Pseudosymmetry type curvature properties of hypersurfaces*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, **V**, World Sci., 1993, 109–131.

[10] Deszcz, R., *Notes on totally umbilical submanifolds*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds I, Luminy, May 1987, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 1989, 89–97.

[11] Deszcz, R., *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., Ser. A, **44** (1992), 1–34.

[12] Deszcz, R., *On Roter type manifolds*, 5-th Conference on Geometry and Topology of Manifolds April 27 - May 3, 2003, Krynica, Poland.

[13] Deszcz, R., *On some Akivis-Goldberg type metrics*, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, **74(88)** (2003), 71–84.

[14] Deszcz, R. and Głogowska, M., *Some examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math., **94** (2002), 87–101.

[15] Deszcz, R. and Głogowska, M., *Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **72(86)** (2002), 81–93.

[16] Deszcz, R., Głogowska, M., Hotloś, M. and Şentürk, Z., *On certain quasi-Einstein semi-symmetric hypersurfaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **41** (1998), 151–164.

[17] Deszcz R, Głogowska M, Hotloś M and Sawicz K. *A Survey on Generalized Einstein Metric Conditions*, Advances in Lorentzian Geometry, Proceedings of the Lorentzian Geometry Conference in Berlin, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **49**, S.-T. Yau (series ed.), M. Plaue, A.D. Rendall and M. Scherfner (eds.), 2011, 27-46.

[18] Deszcz, R., Głogowska, M., Jełowicki, J., Petrović-Torgašev, M., and Zafindratafa, Z., *On Riemann and Weyl compatible tensors*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **94** (**108**) (2013), 111–124.

[19] Deszcz, R., Hasmani, A.H., Khambholja, V.G. and Shaikh, A.A., *Curvature properties of interior black hole metric*, arXiv:1401.6256v1 [math.DG], 24 Jan 2014.

[20] Deszcz, R. and Hotloś, M., *On hypersurfaces with type number two in spaces of constant curvature*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **46** (2003), 19–34.

[21] Deszcz, R., Hotloś, M., Jełowicki, J., Kundu, H. and Shaikh, A.A., *Curvature properties of Gödel metric*, Int J. Geom. Method Mod. Phy., **11** (2014), 20 pages, DOI: 10.1142/S021988781450025X.

[22] Deszcz, R., Hotloś, M. and Ṡenürk, Z., *On the equivalence of the Ricci-pseudosymmetry and pseudosymmetry*, Colloq. Math., **79(2)** (1999), 211–227.

[23] Deszcz, R., Plaue, M., Scherfner, M., *On Roter type warped products with 1-dimensional fibres*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **69** (1991), 1-11.

[24] Głogowska, M., *Semi-Riemannian manifolds whose Weyl tensor is a Kulkarni-Nomizu square*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **72(86)** (2002), 95–106.

[25] Głogowska, M., *On Roter-type identities*, Pure and Applied Differential Geometry - PADGE 2007, in: Ber. Math., Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007, 114–122.

[26] Ryan, P. J., *A class of complex hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math., **26** (1972), 175–182.

[27] Sawicz, K., *On curvature characterization of some hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **79** (**93**) (2006), 95–107.

[28] Shaikh, A.A., Deszcz, R., Hotloś, M., Jełowicki, J. and Kundu, H., *On pseudosymmetric manifolds*, arXiv:1405.2181v1 [math.DG] 9 May 2014.

[29] Shaikh, A.A. and Kundu, H., *On equivalency of various geometric structures*, J. Geom., **105** (2014), 139–165, DOI: 10.1007/s00022-013-0200-4, arXiv:1301.7214v3 [math.DG], 31 Jul 2013.

[30] Shaikh, A.A. and Kundu, H., *On warped product generalized Roter type manifolds*, Preprint.

[31] Szabó, Z. I., *Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying* $R(X,Y).R = 0$ I, The local version, J. Diff. Geom., **17** (1982), 531-582.

[32] Tachibana, S., *A Theorem on Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator*, Proc. Japan Acad., **50** (1974), 301–302.

Department of Mathematics,

University of Burdwan, Golapbag,

Burdwan-713104,

West Bengal, India

E-mail address: aask2003@yahoo.co.in

E-mail address: kundu.haradhan@gmail.com